

UT-792

R -Invariant Unification with Dynamical Higgs Multiplets

K.-I. Izawa and T. Yanagida

Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113, Japan

October 6, 1997

Abstract

We construct R -invariant grand unification models with composite Higgs multiplets. Higgs doublets are massless due to the R symmetry, which is not spontaneously broken at the unification scale, while Higgs triplets attain large masses from a dynamically generated superpotential, which is allowed by an R anomaly.

1 Introduction

Fine tuning of coupling constants is required when some terms in the Lagrangian are unnaturally small without the possibility of a symmetry-based explanation. In other words, the symmetries that could forbid such small terms would inevitably also forbid some necessary terms.

There may be various ways to avoid this unnatural fine tuning. One possible way is to utilize anomalous symmetries which forbid small terms to be fine tuned and whose quantum breaking may generate necessary terms.

The doublet-triplet splitting in the supersymmetric grand unified theory (SUSY GUT) [1] poses one of the most serious fine-tuning problems in particle physics.¹ In this paper, we construct R -invariant unification models with composite Higgs multiplets. Higgs doublets are massless due to the R symmetry, which is not spontaneously broken at the GUT scale [4], while Higgs triplets attain large masses from a dynamically generated superpotential, which is allowed by an R anomaly.

2 The Model

We extend the minimal $SU(5)_{GUT}$ GUT to a semisimple gauge theory in order to incorporate a strong interaction which produces composite Higgs multiplets.

We consider an $SU(5)_{GUT} \times SU(5)_H$ model. The quarks and leptons obey the usual transformation law under the GUT group $SU(5)_{GUT}$, while they are all singlets of the hypercolor gauge group $SU(5)_H$. This hypercolor interaction plays the role of making composite Higgs doublets in the SUSY

¹There have been several attempts to solve this problem. In particular, the semisimple unification approach [2, 3, 4] provides completely natural models with all the successes of the minimal SUSY GUT kept intact. This motivates the present construction of composite Higgs models.

standard model.

We introduce six pairs of hyperquarks Q_α^I and $\bar{Q}_I^\alpha$ ($\alpha = 1, \dots, 5; I = 1, \dots, 6$) which transform as **5** and **5**^{*} under the hypercolor group $SU(5)_H$. The first five pairs Q_α^i and $\bar{Q}_i^\alpha$ ($i = 1, \dots, 5$) belong to **5**^{*} and **5** of $SU(5)_{GUT}$, respectively, and the last pair Q_α^6 and $\bar{Q}_6^\alpha$ are singlets of $SU(5)_{GUT}$.

We also introduce an adjoint representation Σ_i^j of $SU(5)_{GUT}$ and two singlets $\bar{X}$ and X whose R charges are two [4]. The superpotential for Q_α^I , $\bar{Q}_I^\alpha$, Σ_i^j , $\bar{X}$ and X is given by

$$W = \Sigma_i^j (\lambda Q_\alpha^i \bar{Q}_j^\alpha - \lambda' Q_\alpha^i \bar{Q}_k^\alpha Q_\beta^k \bar{Q}_j^\beta) \\ + f \bar{X} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\epsilon} Q_\alpha^1 Q_\beta^2 Q_\gamma^3 Q_\delta^4 Q_\epsilon^5 + f' X \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\epsilon} \bar{Q}_1^\alpha \bar{Q}_2^\beta \bar{Q}_3^\gamma \bar{Q}_4^\delta \bar{Q}_5^\epsilon, \quad (1)$$

where we have assumed the vanishing R charges of Q_α^I and $\bar{Q}_I^\alpha$ and have omitted higher-order terms which are not important for our purposes. We have also imposed a $U(1)_X$ symmetry with the charges of Q_α^I , $\bar{Q}_I^\alpha$, $\bar{X}$ and X as 1, -1 , -5 and 5, respectively. Here we suppose that the higher-order terms are suppressed by a cutoff (say the Planck) scale in the present effective theory below the cutoff scale, which we set to unity.

3 The Dynamics

In the following sections, we investigate the model by means of effective field theory analysis.

The effective superpotential of the model may be written as

$$W_{eff} = \Sigma_i^j (\lambda M_j^i - \lambda' M_k^i M_j^k) + f \bar{X} B_6 + f' X \bar{B}^6 + \Lambda^{-9} (B_I M_J^I \bar{B}^J - \det M_J^I), \quad (2)$$

in terms of the chiral superfields

$$M_J^I \sim Q_\alpha^I \bar{Q}_J^\alpha, \quad B_I \sim \epsilon_{IJKLMN} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\epsilon} Q_\alpha^J Q_\beta^K Q_\gamma^L Q_\delta^M Q_\epsilon^N, \\ \bar{B}^I \sim \epsilon^{IJKLMN} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\epsilon} \bar{Q}_J^\alpha \bar{Q}_K^\beta \bar{Q}_L^\gamma \bar{Q}_M^\delta \bar{Q}_N^\epsilon, \quad (3)$$

where Λ denotes a dynamical scale of the $SU(5)_H$ interaction [7].

The F -flatness condition yields vacuum expectation values satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda M_j^i - \lambda' M_k^i M_j^k - \frac{1}{5} \delta_j^i (\lambda M_l^l - \lambda' M_k^l M_l^k) &= 0, \\ f \bar{X} + \Lambda^{-9} M_J^6 \bar{B}^J &= 0, \quad f' X + \Lambda^{-9} B_I M_6^I = 0, \\ B_6 &= 0, \quad \bar{B}^6 = 0, \quad \det M_j^i = 0,\end{aligned}\tag{4}$$

where the last equation is a part of the condition $\partial W_{eff}/\partial M_J^I = 0$. Together with the D -flatness condition of the $SU(5)_{GUT}$ interaction [5], we obtain the desired form [6] of the vacuum expectation value of M_j^i with $\Sigma_i^j = 0$, $\bar{X} = 0$, $X = 0$, $B_i = 0$, $\bar{B}^i = 0$, $M_i^6 = 0$ and $M_6^i = 0$:

$$M_j^i = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda'} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & 1 & & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & 0 & \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix},\tag{5}$$

where the vanishing eigenvalues² are enforced by the condition $\det M_j^i = 0$ in Eq.(4). The GUT scale is expected to be of order $\lambda/(\lambda'\Lambda)$. We note that the R symmetry is unbroken in this vacuum [4].

4 Low-Energy Spectrum

Let us consider the low-energy mass spectrum of the model in the vacuum Eq.(5).

The color triplets in the composites B_i and $\bar{B}^i$ acquire large masses³ due

²Another form of the M_j^i with three vanishing eigenvalues is possible. It provides massless color triplets at low energy. This may be utilized to produce massless weak doublets through the missing partner mechanism (see Ref.[2, 3, 4]).

³The mass may be larger than the GUT scale due to the strong-coupling nature of the hypercolor interaction [8]. This suppresses the Higgsino-mediated proton decay through the dimension-five operator [9]. We note that the dimension-five operators are severely suppressed in the semisimple unification [2], except for the model in Ref.[3].

to the form Eq.(5) of the vacuum expectation value of M_j^i from the interaction term $B_I M_J^I \bar{B}^J$ in the effective superpotential Eq.(2).

On the other hand, the weak doublets B_i and $\bar{B}^i$ ($i = 4, 5$) remain massless due to the vanishing of the eigenvalues of M_j^i in Eq.(5). We may regard these doublets as those in the SUSY standard model and introduce their Yukawa interactions with quarks and leptons.⁴ We are led to consider the case that the dynamical scale Λ is of order 1,⁵ so as to have the heavy top quark with a large Yukawa coupling.

In addition, M_i^6 and M_6^i yield massless complete multiplets⁶ of $SU(5)_{GUT}$, and M_6^6 gives a massless singlet. They are protected from having masses by the R symmetry, as is the case for the composite Higgs doublets B_i and $\bar{B}^i$ ($i = 4, 5$). The R (and SUSY) breaking may allow these multiplets to have masses on the order of the weak scale. This may stabilize our vacuum $M_i^6 = M_6^i = 0$.⁷

5 Conclusion

We have constructed an R -invariant $SU(5)_{GUT} \times SU(5)_H$ unification model which, in particular, naturally yields the SUSY standard model with the weak doublets. The $SU(5)_H$ hypercolor gauge interaction provides the weak doublets as composites of the hyperquarks without its color triplet partners at

⁴The $U(1)_X$ charges of $\mathbf{10}$ and $\mathbf{5}^*$ of $SU(5)_{GUT}$ are $5/2$ and $-15/2$, respectively. $U(1)_X$ may be regarded as a gauge group when we introduce singlets which cancel the gauge anomaly. These singlets may be regarded as right-handed neutrinos.

⁵If $\Lambda \ll 1$, the masses of some components in the adjoints multiplets of $SU(5)_{GUT}$ would be smaller than the GUT scale. This would raise the GUT scale [10].

⁶They never have Yukawa couplings with the quarks and leptons, owing to the $U(1)_X$ symmetry.

⁷Note added: We notice that an additional field with the R -charge (-2) is necessary to give masses for $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{2}^*)$ and $(\mathbf{3}^*, \mathbf{2})$ components in Σ_j^i , since $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{2}^*)$ and $(\mathbf{3}^*, \mathbf{2})$ in M_j^i are absorbed into broken gauge bosons. This can be achieved along the lines of section 2 in our subsequent paper Phys. Rev. D60 (1999) 115016 with the successes of the present model intact.

low energy. The triplets attain large masses from the dynamically generated superpotential of the $SU(5)_H$ interaction, which is allowed by an R anomaly, while the R symmetry preserves the masslessness of the doublets as long as it is unbroken together with the SUSY. This model predicts, in addition to the contents of the minimal SUSY standard model, a pair of $\mathbf{5}$ and $\mathbf{5}^*$ of $SU(5)_{GUT}$ and a singlet at the weak scale. These predictions may be tested in future collider experiments.

Along similar lines of construction, we may consider unification models with dynamical Higgs multiplets by means of other semisimple gauge groups. For example, the composite Higgs multiplets in the $SU(5)_{GUT}$ GUT may be predicted by an $SU(4)_H$ hypercolor gauge theory with five flavors of hyperquarks instead of the $SU(5)_H$ theory. Namely, we may consider an $SU(5)_{GUT} \times SU(4)_H$ model. An extension to the $SO(10)_{GUT}$ GUT is also possible. We may construct an $SO(10)_{GUT} \times SU(10)_H$ model with eleven flavors of hyperquarks in a similar fashion to the $SU(5)_{GUT} \times SU(5)_H$ model.

References

- [1] S. Dimopoulos and H. Georgi, Nucl. Phys. **B193** (1981) 150;
N. Sakai, Z. Phys. **C11** (1981) 153.
- [2] T. Yanagida, Phys. Lett. **B344** (1995) 211;
T. Hotta, Izawa K.-I., and T. Yanagida, Phys. Rev. **D53** (1996) 3913;
Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **123** (1996) 431; Prog. Theor. Phys. **95** (1996)
949; Phys. Rev. **D54** (1996) 6970;
J. Hisano and T. Yanagida, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A10** (1995) 3097;
N. Arkani-Hamed, H.-C. Cheng, and T. Moroi, Phys. Lett. **B387** (1996)
529;
H.-C. Cheng, hep-ph/9702214.
- [3] T. Hotta, Izawa K.-I., and T. Yanagida, Phys. Lett. **B409** (1997) 245.
- [4] Izawa K.-I. and T. Yanagida, Prog. Theor. Phys. **97** (1997) 913.
- [5] N. Dragon, Phys. Lett. **B113** (1982) 288; Z. Phys. **C15** (1982) 169.
- [6] S. Dimopoulos and F. Wilczek, preprint NSF-ITP-82-07 (1982).
- [7] V. Kaplunovsky and J. Louis, Nucl. Phys. **B422** (1994) 57;
N. Seiberg, Phys. Rev. **D49** (1994) 6857.
- [8] M.A. Luty, hep-ph/9706235;
A.G. Cohen, D.B. Kaplan, and A.E. Nelson, hep-ph/9706275.
- [9] N. Sakai and T. Yanagida, Nucl. Phys. **B197** (1982) 533;
S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. **D26** (1982) 287.
- [10] C. Bachas, C. Fabre, and T. Yanagida, Phys. Lett. **B370** (1996) 49.